	Avg(Min-Max)Count	on Understanding Technology	(
Category	Avg(Min-Max)Count		
Section - 1		Rating Scale	
Relevance of Content	5.8(3,7)21	1	Bad
Depth of content	5.8(4,7)21	2	Well below average
Instructor explains clearly, encourages an	d answers questions	3	Below Average
Defining prior art & search strings	6.2(4,7)21	4	Average
Patents	6.1(4,7)21	5	Good
How to begin search with demonstration	6.2(5,7)21	6	Very Good
generated	6(3,7)21	7	Excellent
Hands on search training	6(4,7)21		•
Finalizing search reports	5.9(2,7)21	Would you like to hear about similar events in the future?	
Pace (time management)	5.7(2,7)21	Yes	21
Section - 2		How did you come to know about this event?	
queries)	6.2(4,7)21	VC mailing list	4
Quality of staff responsiveness	6.3(5,7)21	NCL mailing list	8
organization	6(4,7)21	IPFACE mailing list	1
Section - 3		Word of mouth	2
Quality of facilities (Venue,etc.)	6.2(3,7)21	others	4
Quality of food and refreshments	5.9(3,7)21	No reply	2
Comments and suggestions :- Please in	clude if the talk met your	expectations. Please suggest a top	oic you wish to have a workshop on?
1 It was good hands on works	nop. I learnt a lot		
2 Overall met my expectations	1		
3 Should increase the hands or	training and also run th	ne same workshop with more dept	h.
Topics - Complete process of level; Patent Drafting; Indepuse commercial databases; L	th study of IP and Idea (	to market; FTO Analysis, Lanscap	entability assessment at a researchers be, Patentability search reports, How to
5 Very Informative. Could ext	end for 2-3 days as some	more time were needed.	
Talk was quite informative. A them.	As a beginner it was nice	e to learn. Quality of explaination	was applaudable. Hope to attend more of
7 A single day is not enough fo	or such a valuable topic.	No. of days should be increased.	
8 This is my 3rd session/work	-	· ·	