

Three day workshop on Advanced Patent Drafting (26-28 Sept. 2013)

| Evaluation Results | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|----|
| Category | Avg(Min-Max)Count | Would you like to hear about similar events in the future? | |
| Section - 1 | | Yes | 31 |
| Relevance of Content | 5.50(2,7)30 | No | 0 |
| Depth of content | 5.60(2,7)30 | | |
| Instructor explains clearly, encourages and answers questions | | How did you come to know about this event? | |
| Srividya Ravi | 6.25(1,7)31 | Newspaper | 3 |
| Bina Dandekar | 5.96(3,7)31 | VC mailing list | 12 |
| Nitin Tewari | 6.30(4,7)30 | IPFACE mailing list | 7 |
| Nilesh Pandit | 5.93(3,7)31 | | |
| Quality of handouts | 5.53(1,7)30 | Word of mouth | 5 |
| Quality of Venue (facility) | 6.03(3,7)27 | Others | 2 |
| Pace(time management) | 5.76(3,7)30 | No reply | 2 |
| Section - 2 | | | |
| Quality of pre-event (registartions, queries) | 6.10(4,7)30 | | |
| Quality of staff responsiveness | 6.33(4,7)30 | | |
| Overall satisfaction with event organization | 6.06(2,7)30 | | |
| Section - 3 | | | |
| Quality of facilities (Venue,etc.) | 6.35(3,7)31 | | |
| Quality of food and refreshments | 6.13(4,7)30 | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Comments and suggestions :- Please include if the talk met your expectations. | 1 | Overall good. Need more elaborative. |
| | 2 | More approachable, interactive and question friendly outcome needed. |
| | 3 | Please include more examples/case studies/group exercises for mechanical industry related patents |
| | 4 | This was an excellent workshop. Domain specific workshops will help (mech., electrical etc) |
| | 5 | Nice workshop. Those who want to master in this field must be contacted in their academic stage itself eg: for college students + it can be made through specific field like Engg., med., Bio-tech, Sci. etc. There is a need to include many students as much as possible to extract the knowledge |
| | 6 | At some time , it felt that the seminar is not about patent drafting, it is diverted to IP awareness. |
| | 7 | For drafting claims for participants(training absent) very difficult |
| | 8 | A full patent exam course would be helpful |
| | 9 | Please inform participants to come prepared with basics of IPR patents when attending advanced workshops. It should not be basic doubt cleaning session |

| | | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | 10 | A basic course for UG students will be enlightening and encouraging. | |
| | 11 | Organize workshop on Patent Agent exam. | |
| | 12 | Workshop should have been more focused on patent drafting rather than basics of IP, could be more practical sessions | |
| | 13 | Learned something new. Purpose met, Thank you !!! | |
| | 14 | Distribution of ppt would help us | |
| | 15 | Relevant and detailed course content effectively delivered. Expectations exceeded. | |
| | 16 | Please provide more no. of hours on it in prescribed days | |
| | 17 | Hold workshop on Strategy by V. Premnath | |
| | 18 | Send out pre-reading 1 day advance | |
| | 19 | Event was excellent, very useful for new as well as learners in IP field, Event attended like stepping stone for me | |
| | 20 | More focus on claim drafting and less on other things | |
| | 21 | Good experience | |
| | 22 | Having examples from other fields of science would help to understand. It was not easy to connect to chemistry related patents | |
| | 23 | Is there patent relating to software /case study | |
| | 24 | Patent drafting on Indian Patent is sufficient and other related info may be we can include more US patenting as well. | |
| | 25 | More emphasis on practical aspect | |
| | How do you think can these workshops be improved further? | 1 | Answer only relevant questions |
| | | 2 | Hard copies of ppt before workshop |
| | | 3 | Long duration course + content |
| | | 4 | Provide the workshop material online on the same day (on day of topic) for future reference. Please make a member group who attended workshop. |
| | | 5 | Include some trainer from engineering background field |
| | | 6 | More hands on - smaller group |
| | | 7 | Conducting reduce the cost of expenses for the workshop |
| | | 8 | Do domain specific workshops |
| | | 9 | By introducing more generic examples and topic with prior basic introduction about patents |
| 10 | | Information technology related patents need to be discussed. Maintain sharp focus on the main intent of the workshop- Patent drafting | |
| 11 | | Generic patent (not only chemical/ biotechnology) | |
| 12 | | Software and hardware related disclosures may be incorporated | |