

One day Introductory workshop on Setting up and running an in house patent cell (23 Feb. 2013)

Evaluation Results

Category	Avg(Min-Max)Count	Would you like to hear about similar events in the future?	
Section - 1		Yes	19
Relevance of Content	6.04(4,7)21	No	2
Depth of content	6.00(3,7)21		
Instructor explains clearly, encourages and answers questions		How did you come to know about this event?	
Dr.Vijay Iyer	6.47(5,7)21	Newspaper	4
Dr. V. Premnath	6.57(5,7)21	VC mailing list	10
Dr. Vinita Panchanadikar	6.17(4,7)17	IPFACE mailing list	3
Dr. Indra Dwivedy	6.40(4,7)20		
Dr. Magesh Nandagopal	6.05(4,7)20	Word of mouth	1
Mrs. Srividya Ravi	6.52(5,7)21	Others	2
Dr. Nitin S Tewari	6.47(5,7)21	No reply	1
Sneha V Kanitkar	5.80(2,7)20		
Devanshi Patel	5.58(3,7)17		
Archana Joshi	5.50(3,7)20		
Pallavi Joshi	5.36(3,7)19		
Quality of handouts	5.80(4,7)20		
Pace(time management)	5.73(3,7)19		
Section - 2			
Quality of pre-event (registartions,	6.25(3,7)20		
Overall satisfaction with event	6.15(4,7)20		
Section - 3			
Quality of facilities (Venue,etc.)	6.30(4,7)20		
Quality of food and refreshments	5.80(2,7)20		

Comments and suggestions :- Please include if the talk met your expectations.	1	Kindly arrange a 3 day training workshop on Patent Drafting
	2	W/s was highly informative... resulted into conceptualization of almost all issues related with the Patenting issues
	3	All the resource personnels are very good, experts in their respective fields. It met my expectations.
	4	The metamorphosis of any idea, process or product to a patentable entity was presented in a good and interesting method.
	5	You should help to researches in the universities apart from NCL for filing patent.
	6	All the sessions were excellent.
	7	I really appreciate time management and also event management exp. Ms. Lipika's follow up.
	8	Yes, I came to know more aspects.

	9	The sessions were definitely useful and informative w.r.t. starting and sustaining an in-house patent cell.
	10	Workshop was well organized. You can think on splitting up the workshop in two days so as to cover in depth certain areas.
	11	It was insightful.
	12	Yes at least 2 days workshops
	13	It can be extended for two days workshop.
	14	Pace was a bit faster though there were some overlapping areas and repetitions. Clarifications of some of the common terms need to be provided in handouts. (eg. PTC) Would be useful for attendees.
	15	Would like to attend another workshop on patent drafting and filing and prosecution in detail.
	16	This is a good move towards the IP awareness scheme. I think one such set up has to be arranged for all research labs at universities and also Govt. funded research labs.
	17	Selection of participants may be more objective.
How do you think can these workshops be improved further?	1	The duration of the course should be increased
	2	1) Group discussion among participants. 2) Interactive (live-online) demonstrations in case of IP analytics/patent information.
	3	Looking towards exclusively core Engineering (Mechanical/Electrical) related patent workshops.
	4	By including PBL's, quizzes and discussion on pitfalls in patenting procedures.
	5	Handout may include 1) one copy of a fully processed patent in the field of engineering 2) Points to be covered in framing the specifications and claims.
	6	By little more examples.
	7	Please include more expert talks.
	8	Please include if one wants to start a firm with legalities he needs to complete.
	9	Considering the time constraints, the knowledge sharing and discussions were really up to the mark.
	10	If they were to be spread out over 2-3 days
	11	They can be supported by small seminars with Venture Center.
	12	While the program was more oriented towards the chemical field, it can be broadened to cover a wider scope in terms of case studies etc. Some slides were not visible on screen.
	13	One day is not enough. Some handouts may be included.